28

1 2	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER	
3	Deputy Commissioner JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628) Senior Corporations Counsel	
4	Department of Corporations 320 West 4 th Street, Suite 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344	
5 6	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181	
7	Attorneys for Petitioner	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, EAST COUNTY REGIONAL CENTER	
10		
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) Case No.
12	CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS) PETITION FOR LIMITED RECEIVER
13	COMMISSIONER,	(No Fee-Government Code section 6103)
14	Petitioner,))
15	vs.	,))
16	PIRON ESCROW, INC. a California))
17	Corporation,	,))
18	Respondent.	,))
19		.)
20	Petitioner, the People of the State of California, by and through the California Corporations	
21	Commissioner ("Commissioner" or "Petitioner"), administers the provisions of the California	
22	Escrow Law (Financial Code § 17000 et seq.), and the regulations issued pursuant thereto (Title 10	
23	California Code of Regulations, Chapter 3, Subchapter 9, Sections 1700 et seq.), which regulate the	
24	business and activities of independent escrow agents.	
25	The Petitioner having issued an Order Taking Possession of the Trust Funds and Escrow	
26	Records of Piron Escrow, Inc. pursuant to Financial Code section 17621, respectfully states:	

California Escrow Law to Piron Escrow, Inc. ("Piron Escrow"), a California corporation. At the

On or about April 3, 2008, Petitioner issued an escrow agent's license pursuant to the

time of licensure, Piron Escrow was known as CF Escrow, Inc. Pursuant to that license, Petitioner authorized Piron Escrow to engage in business as an escrow agent within the State of California. The license is currently valid and unrevoked. Piron Escrow's principal place of business was located at 778 Jamacha Road, El Cajon, California 92019.

- 2. Christian Freeman ("Freeman") was the president and sole shareholder of Piron Escrow until on or about May 19, 2011.
- 3. Tammy Piron ("Piron") is, and has been since on or about May 19, 2011, the president and sole shareholder of Piron Escrow.
- 4. Subsequent to the purchase of Piron Escrow from Freeman, Piron changed the name of the escrow company from CF Escrow, Inc. to Piron Escrow. Petitioner issued an amended escrow agent's license authorizing the use of the name Piron Escrow on or about July 26, 2011.
- 5. On or about May 31, 2011, Petitioner received information from Piron that Freeman had sent her an email confessing that he had embezzled \$435,000.00 from the escrow trust account over the last two years. Based upon such information, Petitioner, by and through his staff, commenced a special examination of the books and records of Piron Escrow on or about June 1, 2011.
- 6. The special examination, which was completed on or about July 19, 2011, disclosed that commencing in or about December 11, 2009 and continuing through at least April 22, 2011, Freeman had diverted escrow trust deposits into the escrow company's general and payroll bank accounts and/or his personal bank account(s) and/or made unauthorized disbursements of escrow trust funds to the company payroll account or himself totaling \$437,935.52 in violation of Financial Code sections Financial Code section 17409 and 17414(a)(1) and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 1738 and 1738.2. The special examination further disclosed that Freeman had altered bank account statements to cover up the unauthorized diversions and/or disbursements of trust funds in violation of Financial Code section 17414(a)(2).
- 7. The special examination also revealed that the unauthorized diversions and disbursements of trust funds described in paragraph 6 above had caused a shortage of at least \$435,000.00 to exist in the trust account of Piron Escrow in violation of California Code of

Regulations, title 10, section 1738.1 after taking into account a deposit by Freeman of \$2,945.55 and an adjustment of \$.03.

- 8. On or about May 31, 2011, Piron, after she became the sole shareholder and president of Piron Escrow, opened a new trust account ("trust account # 2") and moved all the trust funds on deposit in the existing trust account ("trust account # 1") to trust account # 2, as it appeared that Freeman continued to have internet access to trust account # 1. The outstanding trust account shortage of \$435,000.00 necessarily followed the funds into trust account # 2.
- 9. Based upon the findings of the special examination, on or about July 25, 2011, Petitioner made written demand to Piron Escrow to open a new trust account ("trust account # 3") in which all further escrow funds received by Piron Escrow would be deposited so that new escrow trust funds could remain separate from the trust funds affected by the shortage. Piron Escrow was specifically instructed that no trust funds received by Piron Escrow on or after July 25, 2011 could be deposited into trust account # 2, which would necessarily include the transfer of funds from trust account # 3 to trust account # 2.
- 10. On or about July 25, 2011, Petitioner also made written demand upon Piron Escrow to cure the \$435,000.00 trust account shortage no later than August 1, 2011. However, Piron Escrow has failed to cure the shortage and continues in its failure to cure the shortage.
- 11. On or about August 23, 2011, Petitioner learned that Piron Escrow had transferred trust funds from trust account # 3 to trust account # 2 in direct contravention of Petitioner's July 25, 2011 letter. A demand was made to Piron Escrow to explain and detail what was occurring between the two trust accounts since August 23, 2011. On August 26, 2011, Piron Escrow responded by just stating that funds are being transferred from trust account # 3 to clear checks being presented to trust account # 2.
- 12. The actions of Piron Escrow in transferring funds from trust account # 3 to cover checks being presented to trust account # 2 caused a shortage to exist in trust account # 3. The exact amount of the shortage was unknown at that time as Piron Escrow ignored the demands of Petitioner to submit an accounting of the funds transferred between the two accounts since such transfers began on or about August 23, 2011.

- 13. On or about August 29, 2011, Petitioner made written demand upon Piron Escrow to cure, no later than August 31, 2011, the shortage (which amount remained unknown to Petitioner), in trust account # 3 that it caused by transferring funds to trust account # 2. Piron Escrow failed to cure the shortage and continues in its failure to cure the shortage.
- 14. On or about August 30, 2011, Petitioner received information that funds in the amount of \$30,359.07 that were supposed to have been wired into trust account # 3 on August 26, 2011, were wired into trust account # 2, thereby causing a further shortage of \$30,359.07 to exist in trust account # 3. At that time, Petitioner lacked information to determine if there were sufficient funds in trust account # 2 to restore the \$30,359.07 deposit to trust account # 3.
- 15. Petitioner determined that the manner in which Piron Escrow was conducting business was unsafe and injurious, and on September 2, 2011, issued an Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to Financial Code Section 17415 to Piron Escrow along with a Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Trust Funds and Escrow Records pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and an Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630. These orders were necessary for the public protection of the escrow customers of Piron Escrow.
- 16. Pursuant to the Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Trust Account(s) and Escrow Records and Order Appointing Conservator, Peter A. Davidson of the Law Firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP ("Conservator") took possession of the known trust account(s) and escrow records of Piron Escrow on or about September 2, 2011.
- 17. Pursuant to Financial Code section 17622, Piron Escrow had ten (10) days after the taking to apply to the superior court for an order to enjoin further proceedings by Petitioner. The ten days provided by Financial Code section 17622 has expired, and there has been no action commenced by Piron Escrow to contest the Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Trust Account(s) and Escrow Records and Order Appointing Conservator. Piron Escrow has requested an administrative hearing regarding the Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities.
- 18. Immediately upon appointment, the Conservator commenced a review of the books and records of Piron Escrow. The conservator's initial review disclosed that Piron Escrow, despite Petitioner's demand that all trust funds received on or after July 25, 2011 be deposited into the new

trust account ("trust account # 3"), deposited \$4,946,756.16 in trust funds received in August 2011 into trust account # 2. The initial review has also disclosed that Piron Escrow transferred funds from trust account # 3 to trust account # 2 totaling \$72,838.95 from August 23, 2011 through August 26, 2011. The result of such actions on the part of Piron Escrow was that the trust funds of new escrow customers were used to cover the trust account shortage affecting the old escrow customers thereby creating a shortage in the new trust account.

- 19. Prior to Petitioner's actions described in paragraph 15 above, Piron Escrow had filed a Proof of Loss Claim with Escrow Agent's Fidelity Corporation ("EAFC"), the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry. On or about July 29, 2011, EAFC, acknowledged receipt of Piron Escrow's proof of loss claim in the amount of \$435,000.00. On or about August 30, 2011, EAFC and Piron Escrow entered into a settlement agreement whereby EAFC approved \$288,199.09 as a partial settlement, with \$269,039.14 of that amount to be paid to Piron Escrow as Piron Escrow has a deductible in the amount of \$19,199.09. EAFC further agreed to continue reviewing the remainder of the Proof of Loss Claim; \$146,800.88. The Conservator was appointed prior to any payment by EAFC. Accordingly, EAFC and the Conservator entered into an addendum to be effective on or about September 6, 2011 wherein payment of the \$269,039.14 was made to the Conservator on behalf of Piron Escrow. There remains a trust account shortage in the amount of at least \$165,960.86 after payment of the funds from EAFC, which includes the \$19,199.09 deductible Piron Escrow has yet to remit.
- 20. The Conservator has filed a claim with three surety bond companies that issued surety bonds to Piron Escrow for the periods of February 22, 2008 through February 21, 2011, February 22, 2011 through July 14, 2011 and July 14, 2011 through to the present in the amount of \$25,000.00 each. The surety company for the bond issued for the period of July 14, 2011 through to the present has indicated that it will tender the full amount of the bond, \$25,000.00, to the Conservator. The remaining two insurance companies have not agreed to pay any amount under the surety bonds nor indicated that they will pay in the future. The expenses of the conservatorship may exceed the amount potentially recoverable under these surety bonds.
 - 21. Piron Escrow has at least two accounts at City National Bank (accounts nos.

- *****7172 and *****0594), which contained funds totaling \$47,278.74 as of August 31, 2011. Bank records disclose that those funds represent monies that came from trust account #'s 2 and 3 in the form of escrow fees that should not have been taken by Piron Escrow given the trust account shortage that existed at the time such escrow fees were drawn.
- 22. It was determined that a partial distribution was necessary to assist in the closure of the pending escrows. It was further determined that an 80% distribution could be made without jeopardizing the administration of the remainder of the estate. Accordingly, on or about September 22, 2011, the Conservator began making an 80% distribution to the trust funds claimants.
- 23. There remains much additional work that needs to be accomplished before this matter can be concluded, including obtaining the remaining documentary evidence to satisfy EAFC's questions regarding the outstanding portion of the Proof of Loss Claim, dealing with and possibly negotiating with EAFC concerning the remaining Proof of Loss Claim, settling or possibly litigating the remaining Proof of Loss Claim, disbursing any recovered funds, dealing with any unclaimed funds, and making arrangements for the maintenance of the escrow files for the required statutory period.
- 24. On review of Piron Escrow's books and records and assets, Petitioner has concluded that it would be futile to proceed further under a conservatorship.
- 24. Petitioner believes that a receivership is the only feasible manner in which to pursue the avenues necessary to bring this matter to a conclusion. Those avenues include moving forward with the remaining Proof of Loss Claim with EAFC and otherwise restoring the trust account to the extent feasible, distributing trust monies, pursuing the surety bond claims. A receivership would allow the receiver to obtain banking records he might not otherwise have access to which are necessary to proving the remaining EAFC Proof of Loss Claim and insufficient assets currently exist to pursue these necessary avenues.
- 25. Pursuant to Financial Code section 17636, the Petitioner is authorized to petition the superior court for the appointment of a receiver to wind up the affairs of a licensee after having taken possession of the licensee.
 - 26. The Petitioner submits that the appointment of a limited receiver by this Court over

Piron Escrow is necessary to properly wind up the trust account affairs of Piron Escrow and to provide the greatest protection possible to the customers of Piron Escrow. The Petitioner submits that a situation exists wherein irreparable harm will be suffered by the public unless and until a receiver is appointed to wind up the trust account affairs of Piron Escrow.

Wherefore, the Petitioner prays:

- A. This Court issue an order naming Peter A. Davidson as the receiver over the escrow trust funds of Piron Escrow, including, but not limited to, the trust funds currently in the possession of Peter A. Davidson in his capacity as Conservator, any indemnity funds paid or to be paid by Escrow Agents' Fidelity Corporation, any surety bond claims or funds, the funds in City National Bank accounts referred to in paragraph 21 above, and all bank and escrow records of Piron Escrow (the "trust property"), whether directly or indirectly, owned beneficially or otherwise by, or in the possession, custody or control of Piron Escrow and/or Piron, or to which Piron Escrow has any right of possession, custody or control, irrespective of whomsoever holds such trust property, in order to obtain an adequate accounting of Piron Escrow's trust property and trust liabilities; secure a marshaling of such property; and to forthwith begin winding up and liquidating the trust property affairs of Piron Escrow in accord with the provisions of the California Escrow Law, and for said order appointing such receiver to further provide that:
- (1) The receiver prior to entry upon the duties described herein, take an oath to faithfully perform the duties of a receiver and to observe all of the instructions of this Court;
 - (2) The receiver is authorized, empowered and directed:
- (a) to review, observe, discover and make notes regarding all the trust property of, or in the possession of Piron Escrow, wherever situated, including all trust accounts of Piron Escrow in financial depositary institutions, and of any other trust property in which Piron Escrow has an interest regardless by whom it may be held on an ongoing basis pursuant to this Court's order;
- (b) To undertake an independent review into the financial condition of the trust property and escrow transactions of Piron Escrow and render a report within 90 days reflecting the existence and value of all trust property subject to the review, observation and/or discovery by the receiver and of the extent of the trust liabilities, both those claimed by others to exist and those which the receiver

believes to be the legal trust obligations of Piron Escrow, and any further information the receiver believes may assist in an equitable disposition of this matter, and to include in its report the receiver's opinion regarding the ability of Piron Escrow to meet its trust obligations, and his recommendation regarding the best method of distributing the trust property to the owners thereof;

- (c) To retain and employ such attorney(s) to assist, advise and represent the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities as the Court may approve upon written application of the receiver;
- (d) To retain and employ LoBuglio & Sigman, CPA's, his accountants, and such other persons, clerical and professional, to perform such tasks as may be necessary to aid the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities;
 - (e) To be the sole signator on all trust bank accounts of Piron Escrow;
- (f) To bring such proceedings as are necessary to enforce the provisions hereof, including the issuance of subpoenas to compel testimony or production of documents;
 - (g) To bring such actions as are necessary to modify the provisions hereof;
- (h) To make such payments and disbursements from the trust property so taken into custody, control, and possession of the receiver or otherwise received by him, as may be necessary and advisable in discharging his duties as receiver;
 - (i) To preserve trust property;
- (j) To institute, prosecute, defend, compromise, intervene and become a party either in his own name or in the name of Piron Escrow to such suits, actions, or proceedings as may be necessary for the protection, maintenance, recoupment or preservation of the trust property of Piron Escrow and its escrow parties, with prior court approval; and
- (k) To divert, take possession of and secure all mail of Piron Escrow, in order to screen such mail for mail relating to the trust property, returning non-trust property mail to Piron, and to effect a change in the rights to use any and all post office boxes and other mail collection facilities used by Piron Escrow.
- B. The receiver is hereby vested with, and is authorized, directed, and empowered to exercise, all of the powers of Piron Escrow's officers and directors or persons who exercise similar

powers and perform similar duties, with respect to the trust property, whose authority is hereby suspended; and Piron Escrow's officers, agents, employees, representatives, directors, successors in interest, attorneys in fact and all persons acting in the concert or participating with them, are hereby divested of, restrained and barred from exercising any of the powers herein vested in this receiver.

- C. Any local, state or federal law enforcement and regulatory agency having jurisdiction over matters relating to Piron Escrow's business shall be entitled to review, without exception, all reports of the receiver and all books, records, and files on Piron Escrow in the possession of the receiver at any time during normal business hours, and to make any abstract or copies of said documents as it desires.
- D. Piron Escrow and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, affiliates, and other persons or entities under their control and all persons or entities in active concert or participation with them, and each of them, shall turn over to the receiver all records, documentation, charts and/or descriptive material, which relate, directly or indirectly, to the trust property of Piron Escrow or otherwise belonging to its escrow parties, now held by Piron Escrow or any of them.
- E. This Court will retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered herein or to entertain any suitable application or motion by Petitioner and/or receiver for additional relief or modification of any order made herein within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- F. During the pendency of this receivership, except by leave of court, all parties to escrows held by Piron Escrow and any other persons seeking relief of any kind, in law or in equity, from Piron Escrow relating to the trust property, and all others acting on behalf of any such escrow parties or other persons including sheriffs, marshals, servants, agents and employees are restrained from:
 - (1) commencing, prosecuting, continuing or enforcing any suit or proceeding;
- (2) executing or issuing or causing the execution or issuance of any court attachment, subpoena, replevin, execution or other process for the purpose of impounding or taking possession of or interfering with or creating or enforcing a lien upon any property owned or in the possession of

Piron Escrow or its affiliates, or the receiver appointed herein, where ever situated;

- (3) taking, retaining, retaking or attempting to retake possession of any trust property;
- (4) withholding or diverting any trust property obligation;
- (5) doing any act or other thing whatsoever to interfere with the possession of or management by the receiver herein and of the trust property, controlled by or in the possession of Piron Escrow or to in any way interfere with said receiver or to interfere in any manner during the pendency of this proceeding with the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court over the Piron Escrow trust property.
- G. At such time as the financial condition of the trust property has been ascertained by the receiver, and at such time as the trust property has been marshaled and all trust liabilities have been determined, the receiver shall file an application with the Court for disposition of such trust property. The application shall propose in detail the winding up and the distribution of trust funds. If necessary, the receiver shall be authorized to propose and carry out a partial distribution, retaining such funds pending final distribution as are necessary to finance any pertinent litigation or the remaining operation of the receivership.
- H. The receiver shall be paid an hourly rate of \$475.00 for his services and shall be entitled to reimbursement for usual and customary expenses, including out of pocket expenditures on behalf of the receivership estate, which shall be paid from surety bond proceeds, if any, and then if insufficient, from the trust funds, and that the State of California shall have no liability whatsoever for any costs, fees and/or out pocket expenses that may result from such receivership. The receiver and any professional hired by him shall make application to this Court on a monthly basis for payment of reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver or such professional, and shall be entitled to payment of said fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided, including payment of all reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver in his capacity as conservator or any professional rendering services during the conservatorship from the date of appointment as conservator through to the date of appointment as receiver, and shall be entitled to payment of said conservator fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided. Copies of such applications to the Court shall be promptly served on all attorneys of record for parties in this action, who shall have ten

(10) calendar days following the filing and service of such application to file any objections thereto			
with the Court, and serve any such objections by facsimile and mail on the receiver. If no objections			
are filed with the Court and served within the ten (10) calendar day period, the receiver shall			
thereupon draw funds from the receivership estate sufficient to pay one-hundred percent (100%) of			
out-of-pocket expenses and seventy-five percent (75%) of such fees, including all professional fees,			
and other expenses such as in office copying and facsimile charges, without further order of the			
Court. To the extent the fees and costs requested relate to the Conservator's or his professional's			
fees and expenses, one-hundred percent (100%) of said fees and expenses shall be paid. If any			
objections are filed, the receiver may draw funds from the receivership estate sufficient to pay any			
amounts as to which there are no objections, and the Court will conduct a hearing on any objections			
upon motion of the receiver or the objecting party. At such hearing, the compensation of the			
receiver or other professional making application, as well as allowable disbursements and expenses,			
will be determined by the Court, and the receiver will be directed to draw funds from the			
receivership estate to pay one-hundred percent (100%) and seventy-five percent (75%), respectively,			
of the amounts so determined by the Court. Notwithstanding such interim monthly payments of fees			
and expenses, all fees and expenses shall be submitted to the Court for its approval by a properly			
noticed request for fees, stipulation of all parties or the receiver's Final Account and Report. Upon			
approval of the fees and expenses of the receiver or other professional, any hold back amounts still			
due and owing to the receiver or other professional shall be paid from the receivership estate.			
I. For an order that the receiver shall not be required to file a bond with the Court in this			

natter.

For an order that the receiver shall not be required to file a bond with the Court in this

Dated: October 6, 2011 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
Los Angeles, California Corporations Commissioner

By_______
Judy L. Hartley
Attorney for Petitioner
California Corporations Commissioner